Universal newborn hearing screening: preliminary experience at the University Hospital of Cagliari
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Abstract

Bilateral congenital or acquired sensorineural hearing loss is a pathological condition affecting 1-2 children per 1,000 live births; it represents a major issue in public health because its late identification can negatively affect speech and language development. The aim of hearing screening is to obtain diagnosis and management of hearing loss as soon as possible; in fact early diagnosis and treatment allow children with congenital hearing impairment to acquire adequate linguistic competence. The present study reports our preliminary experience in newborn hearing screening at Neonatology services of University of Cagliari (Italy). During the first semester of surveillance, between January 2012 and June 2012, hearing screening was performed on a total of 901 babies using two different methods, TEOAEs in healthy neonates and automated ABR in high-risk babies. All infants were screened prior to hospital discharge; in some cases, especially for preterm infants of Neonatal Intensive Care Unit and Puericulture Institute, the screening was performed after discharge, to achieve a possible better global and acoustic maturation; 5 cases of hearing impairment were found.

In the present study the Authors confirmed that it is possible to start a universal hearing screening in a relatively short time reaching the percentages suggested by Joint Committee on Infant Hearing.
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**Introduction**

Bilateral congenital or acquired sensorineural hearing loss is a pathological condition affecting 1-2 children per 1,000 live births [1-2]. It is defined as a hearing impairment of at least 40 dB hearing level or higher [3-5].

Infant deafness represents a major issue in public health and it has been long recognized that its late identification can negatively affect speech and language development in children and, therefore, it can have adverse effects in school performance and social integration that results in adulthood difficulties [4, 6].

Several studies [4, 6] have demonstrated that early diagnosis and treatment, before 6 months of age, allow children with congenital hearing impairment to acquire adequate linguistic competence.

Unfortunately, the mean age for identification of hearing loss has been reported to be 18 months of age or older, if hearing screening is not routinely performed. According to international guidelines, such as those suggested by Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH), the hearing of all infants should be screened at no later than 1 month of age [4, 6].

Usually, hearing loss is early detected only in newborns admitted in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and in high-risk children, but studies have shown that only half of neonatal hearing loss is identified in these groups [6].

The evaluation of the hearing status in all newborns is now possible thanks to the modern research in auditory technology, which allows to obtain feasible, cost effective, rapid and convenient instruments for hearing screening.

From April 2009, at the University Hospital of Cagliari (Italy), the hearing screening was started preliminarily by automated Auditory Brainstem Response (aABR) only in the high-risk newborns and NICU children. Since January 2012, it has been extended to all newborns, with the introduction of Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions (TEOAEs). The aim of this study is to report our preliminary experience in newborn hearing screening, during the first semester of surveillance, between January 2012 and June 2012, and to compare our results with those recommended by international guidelines.

**Materials and methods**

Between January 2012 and June 2012 we performed the universal hearing screening programme on all newborns admitted to Neonatology services at the University Hospital of Cagliari (Italy). The Neonatology services care includes the NICU, the Puericulture Institute (PI) and the Neonatal Section (NS). The NS is the post-natal ward that accepts healthy infants for at least 48 hours after birth; NICU and PI receive newborns with health problems occurred after or during birth, both from our hospital and other hospitals in Cagliari, suburban area and different parts of Sardinia.

The project employed two main approaches: in NS, we used only TEOAEs whereas in NICU and PI, the aABR was used for newborns with risk factors of hearing loss while TEOAEs was used in all the other cases. The newborns with high risk of deafness were recognized following criteria of Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (Tab. 1) [4].

Both TEOAEs and aABR were successfully used for universal hearing screening. These technologies allow non-invasive recordings of auditory system and they are easily performed in neonates and infants [4].

The TEOAEs routinely used is Otoport Lite (Otodynamics®, Hatfield, UK). Measurements are obtained by a probe inserted in external auditory canal that records cochlear responses (from cochlear outer hair cells) to acoustic stimuli, reflecting the status of the peripheral auditory system.

The aABR routinely used is Algo 3i (Natus Medical Inc.®, San Carlo, CA, USA). In this case, measurements are obtained from surface electrodes that record neural activity generated in response to acoustic stimuli delivered via an earphone. These measurements reflect the status of the peripheral hearing system.
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auditory system, the acoustic nerve, and the brainstem auditory pathway.

Both TEOAEs and aABR give two possible responses: pass or refer. Pass means that the result of the screening is good and the baby is not deaf, while refer is indicative of a probable hearing impairment that needs further investigations.

The tests were performed in a quiet room by a team including the otorhinolaryngologist, the audiologist and the paediatrician.

All infants were screened prior to hospital discharge; in some cases, especially for preterm infants of NICU and PI, the screening was performed after discharge, in order to achieve a possible better global and acoustic maturation.

Those who didn’t pass the first screening (refer) were re-evaluated to ENT outpatient clinic for both ears, even if only one ear failed at the initial screening, no later than 1 month of age, with possibility of age correction for preterm.

Those who failed even the second step of the screening were admitted to a comprehensive audiological evaluation, including repeated TEOAEs, tympanometry, stapedial reflexes, Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR). The behavioural audiommetry, has been recently introduced in our department and it is now part of the protocol. This is an audiologic test based on observing a change in behaviour, such as startling to loud noise and stirring from sleep, in response to sound; an assortment of noisemaker are used and they are classified as low, mid or high frequency sounds.

If hearing loss is confirmed, the child is submitted to further clinical assessments, including computed tomography (CT) scan and/or magnetic resonance (MRI) and genetic consultation. At the same time, an auditory rehabilitation service was offered, including selection and fitting of hearing aid device and speech therapy.

Results

Between January 2012 and June 2012, a total of 647 children were born at the University Hospital of Cagliari (Italy); 511 of them were admitted to NS and 136 were admitted to PI and NICU because of some health problem occurred after or during birth.

In the NS unit, hearing screening was performed using TEOAEs on 498 (97.45%) on a total of 511 children. At first examination 397 pass and 101 refer (52 bilateral and 49 unilateral) were found; 75 on 101 refer passed the second screening (36 of bilateral refer and 39 of unilateral); 25 on these 101 did not attend (DNA) to the follow-up (16 of bilateral refer and 9 of the unilateral); 1 on these 101 confirmed the unilateral refer after 4 retests (Tab. 2).

In the PI and NICU, in the period under evaluation, a total of 403 children (109 from NICU and 294 from PI), including inborn and patients admitted from outside the University Hospital, were evaluated for hearing. In the NICU, counting out deaths, hearing screening was performed using aABR on all 109 children of whom 1 bilateral refer was confirmed at retest (Tab. 3).

In the PI 294 babies were evaluated for hearing. In this group of patients, aABR was performed on 98 high-risk children; 95 passed the test at first examination; 1 passed the test at the second examination and 2 children have bilateral refer, confirmed at retest. TEOAEs was performed on the others 195 children without risk factors for hearing loss; 168 of them passed test at first examination, 20 passed at second examination and 7 DNA.

One case (1/294) of Treacher-Collins Syndrome, with bilateral microtia and atresia of external conduct, was recently diagnosed. Because of malformation it hasn’t been possible to perform usual hearing screening and the child will be submitted to bone conduction ABR during the surgical removal of the appendixes (Tab. 4).

| Table 2. Results of hearing screening in NS. |
|-----------------|----------|
| Screened        | 498      |
| Pass 1st test   | 397      |
| Pass 2nd test   | 75       |
| DNA             | 25       |
| Hearing impaired| 1 (unilat.)|

| Table 3. Results of hearing screening in NICU. |
|-----------------|----------|
| Screened        | 109      |
| Pass 1st test   | 108      |
| Pass 2nd test   | 0        |
| DNA             | 0        |
| Hearing impaired| 1 (bilat.)|

| Table 4. Results of hearing screening in PI. |
|-----------------|----------|
| Screened        | 98 aABR  |
| Pass 1st test   | 95 168   |
| Pass 2nd test   | 1 20 /   |
| DNA             | 0 7 /    |
| Hearing impaired| 2 bilat. 0 | 1 Treacher-Collins |
Discussion

According to World Health Organization, a health screening is a medical procedure that allows the identification of pathological conditions using an easily available, inexpensive, rapid, reliable test, not invasive or involving pain for the neonate. Usually, the pathology identified is an important health problem that benefits from an early intervention.

Neonatal permanent hearing loss is a relatively more frequent disease than other congenital diseases that are routinely tested in newborns, such as metabolic disorders like PKU or hypothyroidism; therefore, for a long time, it has been recognized the importance of a programme for early detection and intervention in hearing loss [7, 8].

Despite concrete evidence of the benefits of newborn hearing screening, both for families and public health, in Italy, unlike other countries, it is not required but just suggested. For this reason, the implementation of hearing screening programme is an initiative of every single Region and, inside the Region of each Hospital and, consequently, its diffusion and continuity over time, throughout the country, can be considered still inadequate in certain regions.

In our screening programme we used two different instruments: TEOAEs and aABR. In neonatal permanent hearing loss, the sensorineural damage may be located in several locations of the auditory system, including the cochlea, the 8th cranial nerve, the brainstem or the auditory cortex. Because central disorders typically affect children who require care in NICU, it is necessary to screen this group with instruments able to detect auditory neuropathy, like aABR measurement. In the remaining cases, the instrument employed should be TEOAEs.

In the present study, we performed hearing screening in 498 healthy babies born at the University Hospital of Cagliari and admitted in the NS, reporting a covering percentage of 97.45%. Recommended benchmark by international guidelines is 95.5% [4], therefore our results can be considered satisfactory by International Guidelines.

We experienced relatively high rate of refer results at the first examination with TEOAEs (101/498, 20.2%). The main reason may be the presence in the external auditory canal of vernix and debris, wax or because of poor probe fit. However, refer, checked with retest two or three weeks from birth in the outpatient clinic, was not confirmed and only one confirmed refer (1/498, 0.2%) was observed.

In NICU and PI, the percentage of refer at first examination, using TEOAEs and aABR is lower (30/403, 7.4% excluding the patient affected by Treacher-Collins syndrome who was not assessable with these methods because of malformation of the external auditory canal). There are two possible reasons for the aforementioned result: aABR is a test with higher sensibility than TEOAEs, and since the children are usually hospitalized in these wards for a longer time and the test performed after a while, not immediately after birth, there is the possibility that certain maturation of the auditory system occurs and, in addition, the ENT usually perform suction of the external auditory canal.

In those cases with confirmed refer test, the child is submitted to a comprehensive audiological and multidisciplinary evaluation in order to confirm hearing impairment and recognize its origin and possible related pathology.

Fundamental steps for the neonatal diagnosis are the ABR, tympanometry and acoustic reflex thresholds. These are audiological objective tests that offer results independently from collaboration of the patient, but they should be performed when the child is sleeping and in a quiet environment. Therefore, it’s often necessary to perform the procedure under general anaesthesia or sedation. Ideally, all the assessments, also including CT or MRI performed with the aim to detect anatomical abnormalities, should be achieved during the same general anaesthesia to reduce costs, and to avoid a repeated anaesthesia and delay in diagnosis.

Infant sensorineural hearing loss has two main origins: non-genetic origin, or environmental, and genetic.

The most common environmental factor is congenital Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection; in all infants in whom hearing impairment was confirmed, CMV infection should be investigated by CMV-DNA urine research [9].

Moreover, a fundamental evaluation for diagnosis is genetic consultation. In fact, epidemiological studies showed that infant hearing loss, in approximately 50% of cases, is due to genetic causes. In one-third it is an aspect of a syndromic disease, such as Usher, Pendred or Alport syndromes, in two-thirds the hearing loss is non syndromic [3, 10]. The genes implicated in non-syndromic hearing loss are 46 with over 100 different mutations. In the majority of cases
the cause is an autosomal recessive mutation on
gene of Connexin (GJB2 locus); rarely mutation
is autosomal dominant, X-linked or mitochondrial
[1, 3, 11]. Therefore, excluding syndromic hearing
loss, genetic consultation should include routinely
DNA sequencing of GJB2.

In addition, thin-cut CT or MRI of the temporal
bones is required to rule out the presence of an
inner ear abnormality and to prepare to an eventual
cochlear implantation [3].

In our experience 5 suspected hearing impaired
children were found, genetic and imaging
assessments are still in progress.

When all the investigations are completed
and hearing impairment is diagnosed, the child
should receive as soon as possible the appropriate
hearing aids. In 3/5 patients of the present study the
electroacoustic prosthesis have been already fitted.
Cochlear implantation should be suggested for any
child with severe to profound hearing loss that
seems to receive limited benefit from a few months
trial (usually three months) with appropriately
fitted hearing amplification device associated to
appropriate speech therapy. Benefits of hearing aids
should be assessed evaluating if speech, language,
communication and listening skills are appropriate
for the age [12, 13].

When a cochlear implant becomes necessary, the
treatment should start between the age of 12 months
and 18 months [9, 12, 13].

Regardless of previous hearing-screening
outcomes, all infants with or without risk
factors should receive ongoing surveillance of
communicative development, in order to identify
other types of children hearing deafness, such as
hearing loss with late onset. This global evaluation,
including global development, middle-ear status,
hearing and language skills, should be performed
periodically or at any time if the professional health
care or family have concerns [4].

Patients with the risk factors listed below should
undergo audiological evaluation every 6-12 months
until 3 years old and then every 12 months until
children have 6 years old [4, 9]:

• congenital CMV infection;
• family history of permanent childhood hearing
  loss;
• syndromes associated with hearing loss or
  progressive or late-onset hearing loss, such as
  neurofibromatosis, osteopetrosis, and Usher
  syndrome; other frequently identified syndromes
  include Waardenburg, Alport, Pendred, and
  Jervell and Lange-Nielson;
• neurodegenerative disorders, such as Hunter
  syndrome, or sensory motor neuropathies, such as
  Friedreich ataxia and Charcot-Marie-Tooth
  syndrome;
• culture-positive postnatal infections associated
  with sensorineural hearing loss, including
  confirmed bacterial and viral (especially herpes
  viruses and varicella), meningitis;
• head trauma, especially basal skull/temporal
  bone fracture that requires hospitalization;
• chemotherapy or ototoxic drugs.

Conclusions

Late identification of hearing loss is responsible
of an important delay in language, cognitive,
social and emotional development, that results in a
significant public health issue.

In line with guidelines of Joint Committee on
Infant Hearing, the first step of the screening should
be performed at no later than 1 month of age, both
in healthy newborns and high-risk infants. The aim
of the hearing screening is to obtain diagnosis and
management of hearing loss as soon as possible,
at no later of 6 months of age, in order to avoid
negative effects in children development.

The protocol that has been implemented in our
Department is encouraging since the percentage
of screening can be considered above the standard
suggested by Joint Committee on Infant Hearing.
The DNA patients we experienced can be easily
avoided with a better information of the relatives
about the importance of further hearing evaluation
if the first refer has been found.

Considering the importance of the congenital
deafness, we firmly believe that it is necessary to
extend the hearing screening program in all the
neonatal health facilities in Sardinia, in order to
obtain a universal hearing screening, as suggested
by international guidelines. The audiological
surveillance program should also be performed
by primary care paediatricians in order to identify
hearing loss with late onset or delayed language
development.
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